

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/608,794	06/24/2003	Kun Ta Lee	1291AAB	6875
7:	590 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
Kun Ta LEE			MILLER, TAKISHA S	
P.O. Box 10-69 Chong Ho)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Taipei, 235			2855	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>y</u> w			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/608,794	LEE, KUN TA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Takisha Miller	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes ob he drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of claim 6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). For example, applicant claims, "said bar includes a *stop pin* secured thereto, said hammer includes a *stop pin* secured thereto, said first and said at least one second resilient members are selectively coupled around said *stop pins* of said bar and said hammer ...". Referring to Fig. 4 of applicants' drawings, examiner points out stop pins (35,36,37) are secured to the beam (30) and there are no resilient members (48) coupled to the stop pins (35,36,37). Examiner assumes applicant is claiming the *anchor studs (38)*, which are secured to the bar, and the *anchor studs (47)*, which are secured to the hammer. Also, the resilient members (48) are coupled around said *anchor studs*. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted

Application/Control Number: 10/608,794 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et 3. al. (5,739,411)(hereinafter Lee).
 - With respect to claims 1 and 10, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) for an impact testing machine (100), said impact generating device (22) comprises a base (1) to be supported in the impact testing machine (100), a hammer (17) slidably supported above said base (1), and movable downwardly toward said base (1), for striking or impacting onto said base (1), and resiliently and adjustably supporting means (13/110) for resiliently and adjustably supporting said hammer (17) above said base (1), to adjustably space said hammer (17) from said base (1) at selected spacing distances, and to adjustably determine a moving distance of said hammer (17) toward said base (1)(Fig.1, Col. 5, lines 1-9).
 - With respect to claim 2, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) further b. comprising at least one rod (12) secured to said base (1), and extended upwardly from said base (1)(Fig.4), said hammer (17) including an aperture (17a'/17b,) formed therein

Application/Control Number: 10/608,794

Art Unit: 2855

to slidably receive said at least one rod (12), and to guide said hammer (17) to move up and down relative to said base (1)(Fig.1)(Col. 4, lines 4-6).

Page 4

- c. With respect to claims 3 and 4, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) further comprising a gasket (17a/17b) with an enlarged head engaged between said hammer (17) and said at least one rod (12), and to smoothly guide said hammer (12) to move along said at least one rod (12)(Fig.1).
- d. With respect to claims 8 and 9, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) wherein said base (1) includes an anvil swelling (33) provided thereon, said hammer (17) includes a hammering block (45) extended downwardly therefrom, and arranged above said anvil swelling (33), to act and impact onto said anvil swelling (33)(Fig.10)(Col. 4, lines 8-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (5,739,411) in view of Wislocki (3,998,090).
 - a. With respect to claim 5, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) wherein said resiliently and adjustably supporting means (13/110) includes a bar (111) secured on said at least one rod (12), a first resilient member (15) coupled between said hammer (17)

Application/Control Number: 10/608,794

Art Unit: 2855

Page 5

and said bar (111)(Fig.1). However, Lee fails to teach at least a second resilient member selectively coupled between said hammer and said bar. Wislocki teaches a second resilient member (17) between a hammer (5) and a bar (22)(Fig.1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lee to include a second resilient member as taught by Wislocki in order to selectively raise or lower the impact generating device (see Wislocki; Col. 2, line 56 – Col. 3, line 2).

- b. With respect to claims 6 and 7, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) wherein said bar (111) includes a stop pin secured thereto, said hammer (17) includes a stop pin secured thereto, said first resilient member (15) is selectively coupled around said stop pins of said bar (111) and said hammer (17), to resiliently couple said hammer (17) to said bar (111)(Fig.8)(Col. 4, lines 1-4)(Col. 5, lines 22-25). However, Lee fails to teach at least a second resilient member between said hammer and said bar. Wislocki teaches a second resilient member (17) between a hammer (5) and a bar (22)(Fig.1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lee to include a second resilient member as taught by Wislocki in order to selectively raise or lower the impact generating device (see Wislocki; Col. 2, line 56 Col. 3, line 2).
- 6. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (5,739,411) in view of Moss et al. (2003/0006581)(hereinafter Moss).
 - a. With respect to claims 11 and 12, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) comprising a beam (4) secured to said base (1) and extending upwardly from said base (1)(Fig.1) but lacks teaching a first stop pin and a second stop pin slidably engaged in

Application/Control Number: 10/608,794

Art Unit: 2855

27).

said beam to selectively engage with said hammer. Moss teaches a first stop pin (50a) and a second stop pin (50b) slidably engaged in a beam (22a,b) to selectively engage with a hammer/block (18)(Fig. 2)(¶ 0058). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lee to include a first and a second stop pin as taught by Moss in order to provide height adjustment and versatility of operation (see Moss; Abstract; lines 26-

Page 6

b. With respect to claims 13 and 14, Lee teaches an impact generating device (22) but lacks teaching a flap and seat disposed on said hammer. Moss teaches a flap/guide (34) and seat/slot (38) disposed on said hammer/block (18)(Fig.8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lee to include a flap and seat as taught by Moss in order to provide height adjustment and versatility of operation (see Moss; Abstract; lines 26-27).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Takisha Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-2184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:00 am - 3:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,794 Page 7

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800